

Application No. 10/646,696
Amendment dated May 24, 2006
Reply to Office Action of February 24, 2006

Docket No.: 4444-0124P

REMARKS

Claims 1-11 and 13-16 are now present in this application.

The specification and claims 1-11 and 13-16 have been amended, and claim 12 has been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Reconsideration of the application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

The claims stand objected to for certain informalities. In particular, claims 1 and 2 have been amended to consistently refer to "clients," claim 10 has been amended to consistently refer to a "client," and claim 12 has been cancelled. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that these informalities have been addressed. Reconsideration and withdrawal of any objection to the claims are respectfully requested.

Claims 3 and 10-16 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In particular, the Examiner has objected to the phrase "the electronic mail." Accordingly, claim 3 has been amended to refer to "at least one of electronic mail and facsimile." The Examiner has also objected to "the analyzing result." Accordingly, claim 10 has been amended to refer to "an analyzed result" and also to provide proper antecedent basis for this limitation. In view of the foregoing amendments, it is respectfully submitted that all claims particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the instant invention. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection are therefore respectfully requested.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge that the Examiner considers all claims to contain allowable subject matter, if rewritten to address the various objections and rejections.

Application No. 10/646,696
Amendment dated May 24, 2006
Reply to Office Action of February 24, 2006

Docket No.: 4444-0124P

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that all claims should now fully be in condition for allowance.

Favorable reconsideration and an early Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.


Because the prior art cited by the Examiner has been included merely to show the state of the prior art and has not been utilized to reject the claims, no further comments concerning these documents are considered necessary at this time.

In the event that any outstanding matters remain in this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: May 24, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Joe McKinney Muncy
Registration No.: 32,334
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
8110 Gatehouse Road
Suite 100 East
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000
Attorney for Applicant